1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10	PAIGE ANN ARCURI,)	
11	Plaintiff(s),)	Case No. 2:13-cv-00416-GMN-NJK
12	VS.)	ORDER DENYING AMENDED PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN
13	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,)	(Docket No. 15)
14	Defendant(s).)	
15)	
16	On May 7, 2013, the Court denied the parties' initial proposed discovery plan based on a		
17	number of deficiencies. Docket No. 14. Those deficiencies included:		
18 19	defendant answered or otherwise	appeare	scovery plans to "state the date the first d." Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The submitted equests for extending discovery deadlines
20	must be filed no later than 21 days before the subject deadline sought to be extended. See Local Rule 26-4. The submitted discovery plan misstates Local Rule 26-4.		
21	Docket No. 14. Despite the Court's order that the parties file another proposed discovery plan that		
22	complies with the Local Rules, the amended proposed discovery plan failed to remedy the above		
23	deficiencies. Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is DENIED . The parties are ordered, no		
24	later than May 20, 2013, to file another proposed discovery plan that complies with the Local		
25	Rules. ¹		
26			
27	¹ The Court reminds the parties that they are required to comply with the Local Rules and Cour orders. Future failure to do so may result in sanctions. <i>See</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f); Local Rule IA 4-1.		
28			

Case 2:13-cv-00416-GMN-NJK Document 17 Filed 05/13/13 Page 2 of 2

The Court finds that good cause exists to have a discovery period beyond the presumptively reasonable 180-day period. As such, the second amended proposed discovery plan may be based on the parties' proposed discovery cut-off of November 18, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 13, 2013

NANCY J. KOPPF United States Magistrate Judge